1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney
2	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division
3 4	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359
6 7	Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 07-70076 MEJ
13	Plaintiff,   Proposed Order and Stipulation
14	v. ) EXCLUDING TIME FROM MARCH 29 v. ) 2007 TO APRIL 11, 2007 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18
15	ARMANDO GIL-TSUN,  U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A))
16	Defendant.
17	
18	
19	On February 7, 2007, the Honorable Maria Elena James issued a Complaint charging the
20	Defendant with a violation of Title 8, Section 1326 and on February 9, 2007, the Defendant was
21	arraigned on that Complaint. On February 15, 2007, the Defendant waived a detention hearing
22	without prejudice and the matter was set for a Preliminary Hearing on February 26, 2007. On
23	February 26, 2007, the Honorable Maria Elena James, upon Stipulation of the parties, ordered
24	that the Preliminary Hearing be continued until March 19, 2007. On March 16, 2007 the
25	Honorable Joseph C. Spero, upon Stipulation of the parties, ordered that the Preliminary Hearing
26	be continued until March 29, 2007.
27	Counsel for the Government and Defendant are currently discussing a pre-indictment
28	resolution of this case. Further, counsel for the Defendant does not believe it is in his client's

best interests for the government to indict the case within 30 days of arrest on the Complaint, as required under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

Accordingly, the parties have agreed as follows:

- 1. The Preliminary Hearing shall be removed from the March 29, 2007 calendar and be continued until April 11, 2007.
- 2. The Defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny both Government and Defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, the need for both sides to investigate the facts of the case, and the on-going attempts to reach a pre-indictment disposition.
- 3. Given these circumstances, the parties agree and the Court should find that the ends of justice are served by excluding the period from March 29, 2007 to April 11, 2007 from the Speedy Trial Act calculation and outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. <u>Id.</u> § 3161(h)(8)(A).
  IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: March 27, 2007

DENISE MARIE BARTON
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: March 27, 2007

/s/
STEVEN J. KOENINGER

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Pursuant to the parties' Stipulation and for the reasons set forth above, the Preliminary Hearing shall be removed from the March 29, 2007 calendar and continued until April 11, 2007 and the time from March 29, 2007 to April 11, 2007 shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act

Attorney for ARMANDO GIL-TSUN

Stallo

DATED: March 28, 2007

THE HE LUNITED FERO United Studge Joseph C. Spero United Studge Stud

STIPULATION AND ORDER 07-70076 MEJ

calculations.